MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

COVENANT MEDICAL CENTER P.O. BOX 1866 FORT WORTH, TX 76101

Respondent Name

TEXAS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-08-6333-01

DWC Claim #: Injured Employee: Date of Injury: Employer Name: Insurance Carrier #:

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

54

MFDR Date Received

JUNE 23, 2008

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "The medical dispute I have requested is due to the stop loss clause. The bill exceeded \$40,000.00 therefore should pay at 75% of billed charges. This bill was appealed with the insurance company and did not allow additional payment. This treatment was authorized, therefore should pay at the correct amount of \$38784.03. We have received payment of \$5362.89, therefore, we are asking for \$33421.14 additional."

Amount in Dispute: \$33,421.14

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary Dated July 11, 2008: "The requestor believes it is entitled to stop loss exception simply because its bill is in excess of \$40,000.00. Texas Mutual does not ... Texas Mutual reviewed the medical records, the operative report, and the discharge summary from the hospital and found no unusually extensive or costly services necessary to treat the claimant ... Texas Mutual audited the bill, concluded it did not meet either stop loss exception criteria, and reimbursed the requestor through the per diem method."

Response Submitted by: Texas Mutual Insurance Company

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Disputed Dates	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 6, 2008 through February 9, 2008	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$33,421.14	\$3,427.43

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.305 and §133.307, 33 *Texas Register* 3954, applicable to requests filed on or after May 25, 2008, sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401, 22 *Texas Register* 6264, effective August 1, 1997, sets out the fee guidelines for inpatient services rendered in an acute care hospital.

The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of Benefits

- CAC-W1 Workers compensation state fee schedule adjustment
- W10 No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology
- CAC-97 Payment adjusted because the benefit for this service is included in the payment/allowance for another service/procedure that was already been adjudicated. This change to be effective 4/1/208:
- 426 Reimbursed to fair and reasonable
- 480 Reimbursement based on the acute care inpatient hospital fee guidelines.
- 730 Denied as included in per diem rate
- CAC-W4 No additional reimbursement allowed after review of appeal/reconsideration
- 891 The insurance company is reducing or denying payment after reconsideration
- CAC-217 Based on payer reasonable and customary fees. No maximum allowable defined by legislated fee arrangement (Note: To be used for workers' compensation only).

Issues

- 1. Did the audited charges exceed \$40,000.00?
- 2. Did the admission in dispute involve unusually extensive services?
- 3. Did the admission in dispute involve unusually costly services?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

Findings

This dispute relates to inpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401, titled Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline, effective August 1, 1997, 22 Texas Register 6264. The Third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion in Texas Mutual Insurance Company v. Vista Community Medical Center, LLP, 275 South Western Reporter Third 538, 550 (Texas Appeals – Austin 2008, petition denied) addressed a challenge to the interpretation of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401. The Court concluded that "to be eligible for reimbursement under the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital must demonstrate that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000 and that an admission involved unusually costly and unusually extensive services." Both the requestor and respondent in this case were notified via form letter that the mandate for the decision cited above was issued on January 19, 2011. Each was given the opportunity to supplement their original MDR submission, position or response as applicable. The documentation filed by the requestor and respondent to date will be considered in determining whether the admission in dispute is eligible for reimbursement under the stop-loss method of payment. Consistent with the Third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion, the division will address whether the total audited charges in this case exceed \$40,000; whether the admission and disputed services in this case are unusually extensive; and whether the admission and disputed services in this case are unusually costly. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(2)(C) states, in pertinent part, that "Independent reimbursement is allowed on a case-by-case basis if the particular case exceeds the stop-loss threshold as described in paragraph (6) of this subsection..." 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6) puts forth the requirements to meet the three factors that will be discussed.

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6)(A)(i) states "...to be eligible for stop-loss payment the total audited charges for a hospital admission must exceed \$40,000, the minimum stop-loss threshold." Furthermore, (A) (v) of that same section states "...Audited charges are those charges which remain after a bill review by the insurance carrier has been performed..." Review of the explanation of benefits issued by the

- carrier finds that the carrier did not deduct any charges in accordance with §134.401(c)(6)(A)(v); therefore the audited charges equal \$51,712.04. The division concludes that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000.
- 2. The requestor in its original position statement asserts that "The medical dispute I have requested is due to the stop loss clause. The bill exceeded \$40,000.00 therefore should pay at 75% of billed charges." In its position statement, the requestor presupposes that it is entitled to the stop loss method of payment because the audited charges exceed \$40,000. As noted above, the Third Court of Appeals in its November 13, 2008 rendered judgment to the contrary. The Court concluded that "to be eligible for reimbursement under the Stop-Loss Exception, a hospital must demonstrate that the total audited charges exceed \$40,000 and that an admission involved...unusually extensive services." The requestor failed to discuss or demonstrate that the particulars of the admission in dispute constitute unusually extensive services; therefore, the division finds that the requestor did not meet 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6).
- 3. In regards to whether the services were unusually costly, the requestor presupposes that because the bill exceeds \$40,000, the stop loss method of payment should apply. The third Court of Appeals' November 13, 2008 opinion concluded that in order to be eligible for reimbursement under the stop-loss exception, a hospital must *demonstrate* that an admission involved unusually costly services thereby affirming 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(6) which states that "Stop-loss is an independent reimbursement methodology established to ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the hospital for unusually costly services rendered during treatment to an injured worker." The requestor failed to discuss the particulars of the admission in dispute constitute unusually costly services; therefore, the division finds that the requestor failed to meet 28 TAC §134.401(c)(6).
- 4. For the reasons stated above the services in dispute are not eligible for the stop-loss method of reimbursement. Consequently, reimbursement shall be calculated pursuant to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(1) titled Standard Per Diem Amount and §134.401(c)(4) titled Additional Reimbursements. The division notes that additional reimbursements under §134.401(c)(4) apply only to bills that do not reach the stop-loss threshold described in subsection (c)(6) of this section.
 - Review of the submitted documentation finds that the services provided were surgical; therefore the standard per diem amount of \$1,118.00 per day applies. Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(3)(ii) states, in pertinent part, that "The applicable Workers' Compensation Standard Per Diem Amount (SPDA) is multiplied by the length of stay (LOS) for admission..." The length of stay was three days. The surgical per diem rate of \$1,118.00 multiplied by the length of stay of three days results in an allowable amount of \$3,354.00.
 - The division notes that 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(4)(A), states "When medically necessary the following services indicated by revenue codes shall be reimbursed at cost to the hospital plus 10%: (i) Implantables (revenue codes 275, 276, and 278), and (ii) Orthotics and prosthetics (revenue code 274)." Review of the requestor's medical bills finds that the following items were billed under revenue code 0278 and are therefore eligible for separate payment under §134.401(c)(4)(A) as follows:

Charge Code	Itemized Statement Description	Cost Invoice Description	UNITS / Cost Per Unit	Total Cost	Cost + 10%
01100983	Screw	No Invoice found	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
01106391	DBX Putty 10cc	10cc DBX Putty	3 at \$1,200.00 ea	\$3,600.00	\$3,960.00
01113910	Screw S.S. Cortex 4.5x26mm	4.5mm Cortex Screw Self-Tapping 26mm	3 at \$18.67 ea	\$56.01	\$61.61
01114580	Plate LG DCP 8 Hole	4.5mm Borad LCP Plate 8 holes/152mm	1 at \$432.90 ea	\$432.90	\$476.19
01116225	Bit Drill 2.5MMx100MM	2.5Mm Drill Bit/QC/Gold/110M M	1 at \$55.80 ea	\$55.80	\$61.38
01116240	Drill bit .32MMx145MM	3.2MM Drill Bit/QC/145MM	1 at \$56.70 ea	\$56.70	\$62.37

01321712	Plate Metaphyseal 3.5X86MM 6HL	3.5MM LCP Metaphyseal Plate 6 Holes	1 at \$740.70 ea	\$740.70	\$814.77
			TOTAL ALLOWABLE \$5,436.32		36.32

The division concludes that the total allowable for this admission is \$3,354.00 + 5,436.32. The respondent issued payment in the amount of \$5,362.89. Based upon the documentation submitted, additional reimbursement in the amount of \$3,427.43 is recommended.

Conclusion

Authorized Signature

The submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The requestor in this case demonstrated that the audited charges exceed \$40,000, but failed to discuss and demonstrate that the disputed inpatient hospital admission involved unusually extensive, and unusually costly services. Consequently, 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.401(c)(1) titled *Standard Per Diem Amount*, and §134.401(c)(4) titled *Additional Reimbursements* are applied and result in additional reimbursement.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$3,427.43 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

		10/24/12
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.